1. Meeting called to order at 3:42 pm with quorum.

2. Approval of May 10, 2012 Minutes, no objections.

**OLD BUSINESS**

1. Survey Update: Sammy is still working on the survey document; there will be a full report at the next meeting. So far we have had 226 Rec Card holders respond to the survey as well.

2. Budget Committee:
   a) Use Fee/Rec Card proposals, versions A&B were sent out to review. VCSA Ed Spriggs is backing version B, as he sees it, SFAB doesn’t have jurisdiction over approving Rec Card revenue as required in version A, whereas version B shows revenue as part of the budget presentation but doesn’t require the board’s approval. Currently there is no transparency within the rec card revenue. The goal is to bring the money paid to access the facility into the transparent Sports Facilities budget presentations. There are slight variations within the versions:
      - Version A: The USE fee portion within the Rec Card fee should be derived mathematically and so have no need for negotiation between the Directors of Recreation and Sports Facilities. This removes any ambiguity regarding the amount of money going to Sports Facilities as it would be derived directly though a mathematical formula.
      - Version B: The need to provide fiscal transparency would be met by Version B, but would also allow more flexibility to the Recreation and Sports Facilities Department Heads to react to budgetary emergencies as well as coming to a working agreement on how the monies would be allocated. The money and decision on how to allocate it would be presented to the board, but would not require the board’s approval.

   (Concern) Is there a possibility that if the allocation to Sports Facilities is dramatically larger than it has been in the past, it could cause Rec card prices, or other programs and services to increase in order to compensate the Recreation budget. (Response) The focus of the proposal is to make the process more transparent, not necessarily changing the funding amounts. (Recreation’s concern) The Recreation Department has been seeing cuts in their Student Services funding, and are being asked to create funding through income generation, this rec card money, if allocated to Sports Facilities will make it more difficult to absorb the cuts from other funds. (Student concern) Students should be able to prioritize the spending of money collected for access to Sports Facilities, currently it is made by Recreation with no student input. (Garo) The focus is on the proportional cost to USE the facility, not the extra amount to support programs. (Concern) Version A seems to take away the manager’s ability to manage. The mathematical identification of the USE fee is good, but the management should still have the authority to manage those funds. There is a formula that has been created to find the USE cost; it is based on facility
availability to non-students for each programming space and then a cost is associated to each space regarding maintenance and cost of operation.

- Leonard moves to amend version B so that it reads “…required to pay a use fee…”, “The quarterly amount of this use fee shall…”, and “The use fee portion of Rec Card revenue….” Ron moves to approve version B as revised. Bob moves that there be a complete redrafting of version B. 3-yea 5-nay.
- Sammy motions that the board should vote for version A & B. Leonard moves to approve version A, Sammy seconds, Ron objects. 3-yea 3-nay, chair votes to approve version A.

b) Rec Facility Fee increase. There hasn’t been an increase to the Rec Facility fee in years, based on future projections we will need to enact the $5 increase by the fall of 2013. The increase would occur at the same time as the Canyonview Pool increase, and so could be announced at the same time and students could essentially view it as one increase. If we approve the increase now we can give the students a full year notice, rather than the 2 quarters required. Sammy moves to approve, Leonard seconds with no objections. $5 fee increase in the fall of 2012 approved.

c) Innovation Fund. Two of our proposals are getting a lot of attraction. The first proposal is the Muir field synthetic turf. This project would be funded 1/3 by the innovation fund, 1/3 by FM, and 1/3 through donations and Sports Facilities generated income. Synthetic turf would allow us to double the use on that field, we would be able to use it during the interim periods, instead of having to close it, and would be able to rent it out to generate more income. We’ll have an answer in a week or so. The second proposal is for lighting at the East Campus Sports Deck. There is a Rec club participant giving back $50k, that donation helped persuade the committee to look more closely at that proposal.

NEW BUSINESS

1. Member at large applications are due this Friday. Olivia and Sammy will form the sub-committee if there are more than 2 applications after the deadline closes.

AREA UPDATES

Sports Facilities: We are working to create an official RIMAC Arena brand/logo. We are laying a lot of sod out at Warren field tomorrow. NCRA will get sod the first week of June. We are going to relocate the old Canyonview scoreboard to Warren field. Mission Bay aquatic center is going for platinum LEED status for existing buildings.

Intercollegiate Athletics: Baseball won the conference and got to the West regionals finals with a new coach this year. Softball won the CCAA west region, made it to the Nationals, and are the runners up this year. Heidi Vandevenere is the new WBB Head Coach, she is the former Occidental coach.

General Updates: Jesse Vargas, our former GSA representative is now DR. Vargas. Ron Campnells is retiring this June.

Meeting adjourns at 4:45pm
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